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**PIG**

- An alternative way to program analysis of large data sets that is declarative and ad-hoc

- Declarative programming is a programming paradigm that expresses the logic of a computation without describing its control flow.
  - in contrast to imperative programming which expresses an algorithm

- Ad-hoc: (adj)
  - Formed for or concerned with one specific purpose: an ad hoc compensation committee.
  - Improvised and often impromptu: “On an ad hoc basis, Congress has . . .
SQL (an aside)

- **SQL is declarative**
  - Users express queries using first order logic
  - System evaluates queries in different fashions depending upon the size and cardinality of the data, types, constraints, etc.
  - The program places no constraints on execution

- **SQL is ad hoc**
  - Allows arbitrary inspection, reuse of data, based on logical queries. The design of the database places no restriction on its future use.
  - This is not true of most data structures, e.g. priority heaps support only insert and retrieve greatest
So why not SQL?

- Well, there is SQL for Map/Reduce. That’s HIVEQL.
- But, we’ll look at PIG first.

- Databases too expensive and don’t conform to how programmers think
- Map/reduce is too low level

Expensive at this scale. Besides, many of the people who analyze this data are entrenched procedural programmers, who find the declarative, SQL style to be unnatural. The success of the more procedural map-reduce programming model, and its associated scalable implementations on commodity hardware, is evidence of the above. However, the map-reduce paradigm is too low-level and rigid, and leads to a great deal of custom user code that is hard to maintain, and reuse.

We describe a new language called Pig Latin that we have designed to fit in a sweet spot between the declarative style of SQL, and the low-level, procedural style of map-reduce.
More on what’s wrong with M/R

- Practical efforts at MR programs end up with multi-phase confusing programs.
  - Need concepts such as joins

Unfortunately, the map-reduce model has its own set of limitations. Its one-input, two-stage data flow is extremely rigid. To perform tasks having a different data flow, e.g., joins or \( n \) stages, inelegant workarounds have to be devised. Also, custom code has to be written for even the most common operations, e.g., projection and filtering. These factors lead to code that is difficult to reuse and maintain, and in which the semantics of the analysis task are obscured. Moreover, the opaque nature of the map and reduce functions impedes the ability of the system to perform optimizations.
SQL versus PIG

- Example: find the average page rank of highly ranked pages in a big categories

- SQL is declarative

  ```sql
  SELECT category, AVG(pagerank)
  FROM urls WHERE pagerank > 0.2
  GROUP BY category HAVING COUNT(*) > 10^6
  ```

- PIG describes a computation as a sequence of steps
  - Imperative style programming

  ```pig
  good_urls = FILTER urls BY pagerank > 0.2;
groups = GROUP good_urls BY category;
big_groups = FILTER groups BY COUNT(good_urls)>10^6;
output = FOREACH big_groups GENERATE
category, AVG(good_urls.pagerank);
  ```
Dataflow

- PIG programs are akin to describing a dataflow execution plan
  - And the people they likey:

  “I much prefer writing in Pig [Latin] versus SQL. The step-by-step method of creating a program in Pig [Latin] is much cleaner and simpler to use than the single block method of SQL. It is easier to keep track of what your variables are, and where you are in the process of analyzing your data.” — Jasmine Novak, Engineer, Yahoo!

set @num := 0, @mid := ""

CREATE TABLE rbMatAtt SELECT t1.Matter_Identifier, t2.Attorney_Identifier, t1.cnt, @num:=if(@mid=Matter_Identifier, @num + 1, 1) AS row_number, @mid:=Matter_Identifier AS dummy FROM rbAUtmp AS t1 LEFT OUTER JOIN dbo_Attorney_GP_PAM_Attorney_Identifier AS t2 ON (t1.GP_PAM_Attorney_Identifier=t2.GP_PAM_Attorney_Identifier AND t1.GP_PAM_Country_Identifier=t2.GP_PAM_Country_Identifier) ORDER BY t1.Matter_Identifier, t1.cnt DESC;

ALTER TABLE rbMatAtt ADD PRIMARY KEY (Matter_Identifier,row_number);

CREATE TEMPORARY TABLE rbATT1 SELECT Matter_Identifier, Attorney_Identifier AS ATT1_ID, Attorney_AVP_Salary_USD as ATT1_Salary, Attorney_Seniority AS ATT1_Seniority, Attorney_PLS as ATT1_Specialty, Attorney_Location as ATT1_Location FROM rbMatAtt LEFT OUTER JOIN rbdp_Attorney USING (Attorney_Identifier) WHERE row_number=1;

CREATE TEMPORARY TABLE rbATT1j SELECT m.Matter_Identifier, ATT1_ID, ATT1_Salary, ATT1_Seniority, ATT1_Specialty, ATT1_Location FROM rb_Matter AS m LEFT OUTER JOIN rbATT1 AS a1 USING (Matter_Identifier);

CREATE TABLE rbATTs SELECT * FROM rbATT1j JOIN rbATT2j USING (Matter_Identifier) JOIN rbATT3j USING (Matter_Identifier);